

THE HILLS SHIRE COUNCIL 3 Columbia Court, Baulkham Hills NSW 2153 PO Box 7064, Baulkham Hills BC NSW 2153

Telephone +61 2 9843 0555 Facsimilie +61 2 9843 0409

DX 9966 Norwest

Email council@thehills.nsw.gov.au www.thehills.nsw.gov.au ABN No. 25 034 494 656

11 July 2016

Ms Catherine Van Laeren Department of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Our Ref: 16/2015/PLP

Dear Ms Van Laeren

PLANNING PROPOSAL - 90 WEAVERS ROAD, MAROOTA

The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 (Amendment No. (#)) – rezone 90 Weavers Road, Maroota from RU1 Primary Production to RU2 Rural Landscape and to identify part of the site on the Terrestrial Biodiversity Map (16/2015/PLP)

Pursuant to Section 117(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), it is advised that Council has resolved to prepare a planning proposal for the above amendment.

Please find enclosed the information required in accordance with the guidelines 'A guide to preparing planning proposals' issued under Section 55(3) of the EP&A Act. The planning proposal and supporting material is enclosed with this letter for your consideration. It would be appreciated if all queries by the Panel could be directed to Principal Forward Planner, Megan Munari on 9843 0407.

The proposal will allow for a development application to be made for rural cluster subdivision made up of five development lots and one community association lot where biodiversity values would be protected.

Following receipt by Council of the Department's written advice, Council will proceed with the planning proposal. Any future correspondence in relation to this matter should quote reference number 16/2015/PLP. Should you require further information please contact Patrice Grzelak, Senior Town Planner on 9843 0364.

Yours faithfully

Stewart Seale MANAGER FORWARD PLANNING

Enclosed CD containing:

- 1. Planning Proposal 16/2016/PLP (Including Attachments A and B)
- 2. Attachment C Council Report and Minute (14 June 2016)
- Attachment D Proponent's Application (August 2015)
 Attachment E Subdivision Plan (August 2015)
- 5. Attachment F Bushfire Assessment Report (August 2015)
- 6. Attachment G Flora and Fauna Assessment Report (August 2015)
- 7. Attachment H Soil Chemistry Profile Assessment (January 2016)

PLANNING PROPOSAL

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA: The Hills Shire Council

NAME OF PLANNING PROPOSAL: Proposed The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 (Amendment No (#)) – to rezone 90 Weavers Road, Maroota from RU1 Primary Production to RU2 Rural Landscape and to identify part of the site on the Terrestrial Biodiversity Map.

ADDRESS OF LAND: 90 Weavers Road, Maroota (Lot 239 DP 752025).

SUMMARY OF HOUSING AND EMPLOYMENT YIELD:

| | EXISTING | PROPOSED | TOTAL YIELD |
|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Dwellings | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Jobs | Nil | Nil | Nil |

SUPPORTING MATERIAL:

| Attachment A | Assessment against State Environment Planning Policies |
|--------------|--|
| Attachment B | Assessment against Section 117 Local Planning Directions |
| Attachment C | Council Report and Minute 14 June 2016 |
| Attachment D | Proponent's Application (August 2015) |
| Attachment E | Subdivision Plan (August 2015) |
| Attachment G | Flora and Fauna Assessment Report (August 2015) |
| Attachment H | Soil Chemistry Profile Assessment |

THE SITE:

The subject site has an irregular shape and an area of 10.12 hectares. The site is heavily vegetated and falls away from Weavers Road with a slope of over 20% to a gully at the site's centre.

The subject site contains a single storey dwelling and rural shed. Adjoining and adjacent properties also contain similar rural residential development with some previous horticultural activity evident at 103 Weavers Road opposite. Land immediately to the west and north-west of the subject site are currently zoned as RU2 Rural Landscape.



Figure 1 Aerial view of the site and surrounding locality

Council's 2009 Employment Lands Direction informed the drafting of Local Environmental Plan 2012 with regard to employment lands and employment generating activities. It included a strategy to create a specific zone to identify significant agricultural activities and rural resource lands such as extractive industries and intensive plant agriculture. The boundaries of the zone were not identified at this time however it was indicated that, in addition to the area for sand mining operations identified under Sydney Regional Environmental Plan No.9 – Extractive Industry (SREP No.9), there was a concentration of intensive plant and horticultural industries in the same locality along Old Northern Road and the spine of Wisemans Ferry Road towards Sackville Ferry Road.

In preparing LEP 2012, the specific boundaries of the RU1 Primary Production zone were defined by the SREP No.9 area and consideration of historic and existing agricultural activities identified by way of aerial photographs and site inspection. Consideration was also afforded to slope, bushland, and sensitive vegetation.



Figure 2 Current extent of RU1 Primary Production zone

PART 1 OBJECTIVES OR INTENDED OUTCOME

The planning proposal seeks to rezone the site from RU1 Primary Production to RU2 Rural Landscape to allow application to be made for a rural cluster subdivision. Amendment of minimum lot size or height of building standards is not proposed.

In support of the planning proposal the applicant has submitted a subdivision concept illustrating the intended future development outcomes for the site. Rural cluster subdivision of the subject site would provide for up to five development lots between 4,000m² and 1 hectare in area and a single community lot where biodiversity values would be protected. In support of the planning proposal the applicant has also submitted a Soil Chemistry Profile Assessment, Flora and Fauna Assessment and Bushfire Assessment Report.



PART 2 EXPLANATION OF THE PROVISIONS

The planning proposal outcome will be achieved by;

- 1. Amend The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 Land Zoning Map to rezone the site from RU1 Primary Production to RU2 Rural Landscape; and
- 2. Amend The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 Terrestrial Biodiversity Map to identify that part of the site affected by biodiversity.

PART 3 JUSTIFICATION

SECTION A - NEED FOR THE PLANNING PROPOSAL

1. Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

No, the planning proposal is not a result of any strategic study or report.

The planning proposal has been initiated by a private landowner. The proposal seeks to facilitate a rural cluster development outcome on the land, given the site's reduced capacity to sustain agricultural activities, the peripheral location at the interface with the RU2 Rural Landscape zone and the extent of significant biodiversity on the site.

It is considered that there is justification for a rural cluster outcome on the site given the location of the site and the opportunity to secure the conservation and management of biodiversity.

2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

Yes, the planning proposal is considered to be the best way to achieve the intended outcomes for the site.

The location at the periphery of the identified primary production area and the presence of a large area of critically endangered ecological community, Shale Sandstone Transition Forest, warrant consideration of a rural cluster outcome and the opportunity to secure the conservation and management of biodiversity land. It is considered that the potential for land use conflict can be appropriately managed through existing and proposed Development Control Plan requirements and the precedent for other sites to seek similar outcomes is limited, requiring detailed justification that environmental benefits would outweigh the loss of land identified for agricultural production.

SECTION B - RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLANNING FRAMEWORK

3. Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the applicable regional or sub-regional strategy (including the Plan for Growing Sydney)?

Yes, a discussion of consistency is provided below.

• A Plan for Growing Sydney

On 14 December 2014, the NSW Minister for Planning released 'A Plan for Growing Sydney'. The Plan is intended to guide land use planning decisions for the next 20 years and presents a strategy for accommodating Sydney's forecast population growth over this time. To achieve the Government's vision for Sydney as a "strong global City and a great place to live", the Plan sets out four (4) main goals, for Sydney to be:

- A competitive economy with world-class services and transport,
- A City of housing choice with homes that meet our needs and lifestyles,
- A great place to live with strong, healthy and well-connected communities, and
- A sustainable and resilient City that protects the natural environment and has a balanced approach to the use of land and resources.

The metropolitan strategic plan aims to create a sustainable and resilient city that protects the natural environment and has a balanced approach to the use of land and resources. The plan recognises that Sydney's metropolitan rural area contains most of Sydney's conservation reserves and significant agriculture and extractive industry. It includes actions related to protection of biodiversity, protection of resources such as mineral, energy and construction material needs and protection of productive agricultural land to keep fresh food available locally. Action 4.1.2 highlights the need for a strategic framework to enhance and protect the broad range of environmental, economic and social assets for the metropolitan rural area. The plan identifies 'agricultural clusters' throughout the metropolitan rural area which includes the locality along Old Northern Road and Wisemans Ferry Road (refer Figure 4).

The planning proposal is consistent the environmental objectives of this plan, as part of the planning proposal seeks to conserve and protect a significant area (5.9ha) of Shale Sandstone Transition Forest within a separate community association lot.

Whilst there are agricultural clusters identified within the plan (Figure 4). Formal preparation of a strategic framework that assesses the conservation, social and economic values of the metropolitan rural area has not yet been completed.



Figure 4 Extract - A Plan for Growing Sydney

Whilst the land, the subject of the planning proposal, is identified as part of the 'agricultural cluster', it currently does not accommodate any productive agricultural use. As part of the planning proposal submitted to Council, the land owner has indicated that viability for farming is limited due to site constraints including soil quality and the extent of native vegetation on the site. The capacity of the site to contribute to agricultural outcomes is addressed further in Section C.

4. Is the planning proposal consistent with the local council's Community Strategic Plan, or other local strategic plan?

• The Hills Future Community Strategic Plan

The Hills Future Community Strategic Direction articulates The Hills Shire community's and Council's shared vision, values, aspirations and priorities with reference to other local government plans, information and resourcing capabilities. It is a direction that creates a picture of where the Hills would like to be in the future. The direction is based on community aspirations gathered throughout months of community engagement and consultation with members of the community.

The planning proposal will assist in the realisation of The Hills Future outcome of protecting the natural environment.

Local Strategy

Council's Draft Local Strategy was adopted in 2008, it is the principal document for communicating the future planning of the Shire and includes the objectives of longer term planning projects of the State Government as well as responding to, and planning for, local needs such as employment, housing and transport.

Key components of the Local Strategy related to the subject proposal are Rural Lands Study, Employment Lands Direction and Environment and Leisure Direction.

- Rural Lands Strategy

The Rural Lands Strategy was adopted in 2003 and acknowledges the importance of a consistent approach to the management of rural lands to ensure their suitability for agricultural use and to minimise the occurrence of incompatible surrounding uses. It reflects the value of

rural areas for the Shire, and the challenge of sustainable land use in the long term management of rural lands. Whilst the Strategy sought to provide for economic development opportunities, it recognised that some existing agricultural uses were marginal from an economic sustainability point of view. A specific land use designation (or zone) for agriculture was not suggested at the time the Strategy was prepared, due to the scattered nature of high class agricultural land and the lack of any large and contiguous areas of agricultural production. The proposal for a specific zone related to primary production was explored further in 2009 in the preparation of Council's Employment Lands Direction, following the opportunity offered by the Standard Instrument LEP.

The Rural Lands Strategy also includes an objective to ensure the ecological integrity of the rural lands are enhanced and maintained. It included an action to identify and protect significant linkages of native vegetation in a draft LEP for the Shires rural lands. The draft rural lands LEP reported to Council in June 2005 included an overlay designating environmentally sensitive lands, which included the rear portion of the subject site (refer Figure 5). The overlay formed the basis of the terrestrial biodiversity mapping contained in LEP 2012, since adjusted to exclude land zoned RU1 Primary Production.

Given the foregoing, the planning proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the objectives and strategies contained within the Rural Lands Strategy. Development of the site for rural cluster subdivision, as proposed, will allow for retention of significant vegetation and will contribute to biodiversity in the area consistent with the objective of maintain and enhancing the ecological integrity of the rural area.



Figure 5 Extract Draft 2005 Rural Lands LEP Part of subject site identified as environmentally sensitive area

- Employment Lands Direction

The Employment Lands Direction seeks to facilitate sustainable economic development that promotes growth in local business and employment opportunities. It outlines the historic contribution of the Shires rural area to the local economy including sand mining in the northern part of Maroota, where SREP 9 identifies land with extractive potential of regional significance. The Direction also identifies a cluster of horticultural use in the form of market gardens, orchards and roadside stalls selling fresh produce along the nearby Old Northern Road and Wisemans Ferry Road and recommends the creation of a new RU1 Primary Production zone to reflect the significant land uses.

The boundaries of the zone, whilst not specified in the Direction, were informed by the activities allowed under SREP 9 as well as the site opportunities and constraints such as slope, bushland and sensitive vegetation. In preparing draft LEP 2012, the subject site was included

within the boundaries of the primary production zone albeit was not in production at the time of inspection in 2009.

The current planning proposal is inconsistent with the objectives of the Employment Lands Direction, however closer examination of the suitability of the RU1 Primary Production zone for the site indicates that the capacity for agricultural uses is potentially limited by soil quality and the area of land free of vegetation and slope constraints. The location immediately adjoining the RU2 Rural Landscape zone and the environmental qualities of the land provide suitable justification for change in this instance.

- Environment and Leisure Direction

The Environment and Leisure Direction seeks to provide for the protection of flora and fauna in land use planning and provide for ongoing effective management of environmentally significant lands.

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction. If supported, the concept will deliver the retention of a significant area of vegetation (Shale Sandstone Transition Forest), which is a critically endangered ecological community, within a separate community association lot as well as continuation of the biodiversity corridor in this area within the Local Environmental Plan 2012.

5. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

• State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 – Remediation of Land

There may be potential for land contamination on the subject site due to previous agricultural land uses. The proponent has not submitted a Contamination Report for the site. A future Report will be required to conclude natural soils are to free of contamination and do not present a risk to human health or the environment. Additionally, the Gateway Determination may require that a further report be prepared to ensure that the site is suitable for the proposed increased residential uses.

6. *Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.117 directions)?*

The consistency of the planning proposal with the s.117 Ministerial Directions is detailed within Attachment B. A discussion on the consistency of the proposal with each relevant Direction is provided below.

• Direction 1.2 Rural Zones

Direction 1.2 Rural Zones seeks to protect the agricultural production value of rural land. The direction requires that a planning proposal must not contain provisions that will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone. The planning proposal seeks to rezone the subject site from RU1 Primary Production to RU2 Rural Landscape which would uplift the density and enable an application to be made for rural cluster subdivision, which is inconsistent with this direction.

The inconsistency is considered to be minor as the planning proposal includes a single site that has low capacity for agricultural uses and is limited by soil quality and vegetation and slope constraints.

• Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones

This Direction requires that a planning proposal protects and conserves environmentally sensitive areas. The proposal is consistent with this direction as it facilitates the protection of a significant area of vegetation (5.9ha of Shale Sandstone Transition Forest), as it will be within a separate community association lot that continues the biodiversity corridor in this area.

• Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

This Direction requires that a planning proposal to encourage the sound management of land mapped as bushfire prone. The direction seeks to protect life, property and environment from bushfire hazards.



Figure 6 Bushfire Map showing red 110m buffer area within subject site

A significant portion of the site is identified as bushfire prone land (indicated above), either as Category 1 or Buffer Zone on Council's Bush Fire Prone Land Map 2012. Any development proposal must comply with the provisions of "Planning for Bushfire Protection" 2006 and take into consideration entry and exit from the area, construction methods and other matters relating to fire impact. Proposed building envelopes should be located on previously cleared land and any bushfire asset protection zones or 10/50 vegetation clearing entitlement must not extend into the community association lot or existing bushland areas.

A Bushfire Report submitted with the planning proposal, which states that, proposed building envelopes within the proposed new allotments have the capacity to meet the minimum requirements of "Planning for Bushfire Protection" 2006. The Direction requires that Council undertake consultation with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service following receipt of a Gateway Determination under section 56 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), and prior to undertaking community consultation in satisfaction of section 57 of the EP&A Act. Council will need to take into consideration any comments received as part of this consultation.

Clause 5.11 of LEP 2012 requires that bush fire hazard reduction work authorised by the Rural Fires Act 1997 may be carried out on any land without consent. This provision ensures that bush fire hazard reduction work is not prohibited within Asset Protection Zones. The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction.

SECTION C - ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT

7. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

Appropriate studies have been undertaken to ensure that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats will not be adversely affected as a result of the proposal.

Based on a desktop review of available data the high biodiversity values have been previously identified on the site or in the locality.

- Large areas of native vegetation occur on the site and Council vegetation mapping includes Shale Sandstone Transition Forest which is listed as a critically endangered ecological community under the Threatened Species Conservation Act (1995).
- A 10 kilometre search of Bionet (Atlas of NSW Wildlife) returns over 2,000 records from over 100 threatened species from the locality. Therefore there is a high likelihood of threatened species and/or their habitats occurring on the site.
- The site has good connectivity, with two large vegetated corridors within the site connected to large areas of bushland in the surrounding area, especially to the north and north-west of the site.
- Presence of creek lines and large dams occur on the site, associated predominately with the above corridors.

There is a clear distinction within the site of cleared land and the areas of retained native bushland where the majority of the biodiversity values identified above would occur. The north western boundary directly adjoins land zoned as RU2 Rural Landscape. This zoning in the surrounding area includes land mapped as "Biodiversity" on the Terrestrial Biodiversity Map that would generally support rural cluster subdivision development subject to satisfaction of the applicable Local Environmental Plan 2012 and Development Control Plan requirements. Under the mapping criteria used for the preparation of LEP 2012 the biodiversity values identified above would have resulted in the Terrestrial Biodiversity mapping extending onto the subject site, and only the RU1 Primary Production zoning precluded this from occurring.

The Development Control Plan 2012 provides controls related to minimum lot sizes for community title schemes and includes guiding principles to ensure the protection of the landscape, biodiversity and rural setting of the land. The DCP also requires that a minimum 60% of the site is to be provided as the association property. The management of this area would be governed by a Vegetation Management Plan to assure that the biodiversity on the site is protected, maintained and enhanced.

Should the planning proposal proceed amendments will occur to the Terrestrial Biodiversity map as shown in Part 4.

8. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

The subject site is identified as Bush Fire Prone Land and any development that may occur on the subject site would be subject to the requirements of the NSW Rural Fires Act 1997 and the implementation of appropriate asset protection zones.

It is considered the proposed amendments to *The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012* do not result in any additional likely environment effects that would not already be anticipated under the current controls applicable to the site.

Any future development application for the site would be assessed against the LEP provisions and the Hills Development Control Plan having regard to potential impacts of the development on adjoining and surrounding property owners.

9. Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

Desktop analysis of aerial imagery from 2005 to 2014 identifies that there has been a reduction in land area within the Shire used for horticultural activities (329.7 ha in 2005 and 317.8 ha in 2014). Part of the loss of agricultural land has been a result of urban development in the release areas. However, there has also been a -7.3% decrease in the area of land used for horticulture in the RU1 Primary Production zone in Maroota since 2008 (refer Table 1).

| | 2008 | 2014 | % change |
|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| Horticultural activities | 161.7ha | 149.74ha | -7.3% |
| (market gardens, orchards, crops) | | | |
| Extractive industries | 102.9ha | 120.9ha | +17.4% |
| Extractive industries | 102.9ha | 120.9ha | +17.4% |

| Table 1 | 1 |
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

Changes to horticultural activities and extractive industry operations RU1 Primary Production zone 2008 to 2014

The Maroota area is characterised by dispersed agricultural lots used predominately for intensive horticultural purposes such as market garden cultivation, orchard vines and large crops. Extractive industry operations within the SREP 9 boundary have increased in land area by 17.4% since 2008 (refer Table 1) and partly account for the reduction in horticultural activities in the locality. Figure 7 shows the changes to horticultural activities and extractive industry operations in the RU1 Primary Production zone between 2008 and 2014.

Given that the RU1 Primary Production zone was only introduced in October 2012 and the analysis is based on 2014 aerial imagery, it is considered too soon to gauge whether the use of the zone will deliver on the objective of encouraging primary industry production in the locality. However, it is observed that the ongoing horticultural activities are generally on larger unconstrained sites with land areas in the order of 12ha.

A five class system used by NSW Agriculture classifies land in terms of its suitability for general agricultural use. Agricultural land is classified by evaluating biophysical, social and economic factors that may constrain the use of land for agricultural purposes. The subject site is identified as a mix of two classes being Class 4 (approximately 6ha) and Class 3 (approximately 4ha).

Class 3 lands are suited to cropping but not continuous cultivation with production risks managed through cropping in rotation with pastures. The land is well suited to grazing with limitation to production including shallow, stony or eroded soils. Soil conservation or drainage works may be required to improve the cultivation capacity. Class 4 land is generally suitable for grazing but not for cultivation. Overall level of production is comparatively low due to major environmental constraints.

The subject site at 90 Weavers Road has a total site area of 10.12 hectares, of which approximately 5.9ha or 59% contains significant vegetation. This leaves approximately 3.66ha for agricultural activities, excluding the area currently occupied by existing buildings and paved areas. Of this 40% or 1.46ha is Class 4 agricultural land with limited cultivation capacity (Figure 8). An area of approximately 0.66ha in the Class 3 agricultural lands has been previously cleared for orchards however the land owner indicates that this is not viable as the two main retail outlets prefer to deal with large volume growers.



Figure 7 Changes to horticultural activities and extractive industry operations RU1 zone Primary Production zone 2008 to 2014



Figure 8 Agricultural land classifications in the Maroota locality (Source: Department of Primary Industry, 2016)

A Soil Chemistry Profile Assessment submitted with the planning proposal concludes that the soil from the subject site is strongly acidic with a high portion of exchangeable hydrogen. As the soil is sandy based material, the soil has a poor ability to retain plant nutrients. The assessment also notes that the ability of the soil to hold water is low and, in its current state, is not an ideal agricultural soil.

| pH and ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|-----|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | Extreme Acidity | Very Strong Acidity | Strong Acidity | Medium Acidity | Slight Acidity | V. Slight Acidity | Neutral | Slight Alkalinity | | oderate Ikalinity | Strong Alkalinity | Very S Alka | Strong linity |
| | ≤4. | 0 4 | .5 5.0 |) 5 | .5 (| 3.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.5 | ≥10 |
| pH in H ₂ O | (1:5) | | | | 5.8 | \///// | | | | | | | | |
| pH in CaCl ₂ | (1:5) | | 4.77 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 9

pH testing of soil from subject site

Given the number of constraints to undertaking agriculture on the subject site, it is not considered that the proposal will result in the loss of productive agricultural land. Allowing opportunity for rural cluster subdivision will facilitate conservation and ongoing management of the land affected by significant biodiversity. In this instance, this outcome is preferred. Proceeding with the proposal would require further consultation with the Department of Trade and Investment, Regional Infrastructure and Services, Primary Industries but, given the analysis undertaken, the proposed change is considered to be justified.

SECTION D - STATE AND COMMONWEALTH INTERESTS

10. Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

Given the scale of the proposal no significant augmentations will be required to the existing infrastructure to ensure that future development lots are appropriately serviced. However it will be appropriate for consideration to be made in relation to the following infrastructure:

Water Services

The Development Control Plan 2012 provides development controls related to new dwellings and includes a control relating to water supply. The control requires that sites that do not have access to reticulated water must provide a minimum water supply of 80,000 litres for domestic purposes.

<u>Sewerage Services</u>

Requirements for wastewater and effluent disposal areas also include controls that require proposals to demonstrate sufficient area is available for any proposed on-site sewerage management and effluent disposal areas. Proposals must ensure compliance with Council's Local Approvals Policy. Wastewater and effluent disposal areas must be located on land that is 40m from a dam or intermittent watercourse, 100m from a permanent water course 6m from a structure, property boundary or native vegetation, not on slope greater than 15% and has a soil depth greater than 300mm.

The proposed development outcome and associated services will be assessed at the subdivision and development application stage prior to construction certificate. The subject site also falls under The Hills Section 94A Contribution Plan where the levy will contribute to public facilities and services.

11. What are the views of State and Commonwealth Public Authorities consulted in accordance with the gateway determination, and have they resulted in any variations to the planning proposal? (Note: The views of State and Commonwealth Public Authorities will not be known until after the initial gateway determination. This section of the planning proposal is completed following consultation with those public authorities identified in the gateway determination.) A list of relevant agencies would be determined as part of the Gateway Determination. Following the Gateway determination, all relevant agencies will be consulted.

A preliminary list of public agencies which could be consulted is included below.

- NSW Rural Fire Service;
- Office of Environment and Heritage;
- Sydney Water; and
- Endeavour Energy.

The planning proposal seeks to amend the Land Zoning Map of *The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012*.



Existing Land Zoning Map



Proposed Land Zoning Map

The planning proposal seeks to amend and Biodiversity Layer of *The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012*.



PART 5 COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The planning proposal will be advertised in local newspapers and on display at Council's administration building, Dural Library and Rouse Hill Library. The planning proposal will also be made available on Council's website. In addition, letters will be issued to adjoining and nearby property owners and stakeholders.

PART 6 PROJECT TIMELINE

| STAGE | DATE |
|---|----------------|
| Commencement Date (Gateway Determination) | July 2016 |
| Government agency consultation | August 2016 |
| Commencement of public exhibition period (28 days) | September 2016 |
| Completion of public exhibition period | October 2016 |
| Timeframe for consideration of submissions | November 2016 |
| Timeframe for consideration of proposal post exhibition | November 2016 |
| Report to Council on submissions | December 2016 |
| Planning Proposal to PCO for opinion | January 2017 |
| Date Council will make the plan (if delegated) | February 2017 |
| Date Council will forward to department for notification (if delegated) | February 2017 |

| STATE | ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY (SEPP) | APPLICABLE | RELEVANT? (YES/NO) | (IF RELEVANT) INCONSISTENT/ CONSISTENT |
|-----------------------|---|------------|-----------------------|--|
| No. 1 | Development Standards | NO | - | - |
| No. 14 | Coastal Wetlands | NO | - | - |
| No. 15 | Rural Landsharing Communities | NO | - | - |
| No. 19 | Bushland in Urban Areas | YES | NO | - |
| No. 21 | Caravan Parks | YES | NO | |
| No. 26 | Littoral Rainforests | NO | - | - |
| No. 29 | Western Sydney Recreation Area | NO | - | - |
| No. 30 | Intensive Agriculture | YES | NO | - |
| No. 33 | Hazardous and Offensive Development | YES | NO | - |
| No. 36 | Manufactured Home Estates | NO | - | - |
| No. 39 | Spit Island Bird Habitat | NO | - | - |
| No. 44 | Koala Habitat Protection | NO | - | - |
| No. 47 | Moore Park Showground | NO | - | - |
| No. 50 | Canal Estate Development | YES | NO | |
| No. 52 | Farm Dams and Other Works in Land and Water Management Plan Areas | NO | - | - |
| No. 55 | Remediation of Land | YES | YES | CONSISTENT |
| No. 59 | Central Western Sydney Regional Open Space and Residential | NO | - | - |
| No. 62 | Sustainable Aquaculture | YES | NO | - |
| No. 64 | Advertising and Signage | YES | NO | - |
| No. 65 | Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development | YES | NO | - |
| No. 70 | Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) | YES | NO | _ |
| No. 71 | Coastal Protection | NO | - | - |
| | e Rental Housing (2009) | YES | NO | - |
| Building S | Sustainability Index: BASIX 2004 | YES | NO | - |
| Exempt a Codes (20 | nd Complying Development 008) | YES | NO | - |
| Disability | · · · | YES | NO | - |
| | cture (2007) | YES | NO | - |
| (2007) | ko National Park – Alpine Resorts | NO | - | - |
| | eninsula (1989) | NO | - | - |
| | velopment (2005) | YES | NO | - |
| Extractive | etroleum Production and e Industries (2007) | YES | NO | - |
| | eous Consent Provisions (2007) | YES | NO | - |
| Penrith La | akes Scheme (1989) | NO | - | - |
| Port Bota | ny and Port Kembla (2013) | NO | - | - |
| | ds (2008) | NO | - | - |
| | Transitional Provisions (2011) | NO | - | - |
| | Regional Development (2011) | YES | NO | - |
| | prinking Water Catchment (2011) | NO | _ | |

| STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY (SEPP) | APPLICABLE | RELEVANT? (YES/NO) | (IF RELEVANT) INCONSISTENT/ CONSISTENT |
|---|------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | |
| Sydney Region Growth Centres (2006) | NO | - | - |
| Three Ports (2013) | NO | - | - |
| Urban Renewal (2010) | NO | - | - |
| Western Sydney Employment Area (2009) | NO | - | - |
| | | | |
| Deemed SEPPs | | | |
| SREP No. 8 (Central Coast Plateau Areas) | NO | - | - |
| SREP No. 9 – Extractive Industry (No. 2 – 1995) | YES | NO | - |
| SREP No. 16 – Walsh Bay | NO | - | - |
| SREP No. 20 – Hawkesbury – Nepean | YES | NO | - |
| River (No 2 – 1997) | | | |
| SREP No. 24 – Homebush Bay Area | NO | - | - |
| SREP No. 25 – Orchard Hills | NO | - | - |
| SREP No. 26 – City West | NO | - | - |
| SREP No. 30 – St Marys | NO | - | - |
| SREP No. 33 – Cooks Cove | NO | - | - |
| SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 | NO | - | - |

ATTACHMENT B: ASSESSMENT AGAINST SECTION 117 MINISTERIAL DIRECTIONS

| | DIRECTION | APPLICABLE | RELEVANT? (YES/NO) | (IF RELEVANT) INCONSISTENT/ CONSISTENT |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1. E | mployment and Resources | | | |
| 1.1 | Business and Industrial Zones | NO | - | - |
| 1.2 | Rural Zones | YES | YES- | INCONSISTENT |
| 1.3 | Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries | NO | - | - |
| 1.4 | Oyster Aquaculture | NO | - | - |
| 1.5 | Rural Lands | NO | - | - |
| 2. E | nvironment and Heritage | | | |
| 2.1 | Environment Protection Zone | YES | YES | CONSISTENT |
| 2.2 | Coastal Protection | NO | - | - |
| 2.3 | Heritage Conservation | NO | - | - |
| 2.4 | Recreation Vehicle Area | NO | - | - |
| 2.5 | Application of E2 and E3 Zones and Environmental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs | NO | - | - |
| 3. H | lousing, Infrastructure and Urban | Development | | |
| 3.1 | Residential Zones | NO | - | - |
| 3.2 | Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates | NO | - | - |
| 3.3 | Home Occupations | NO | - | - |
| 3.4 | Integrating Land Use and Transport | NO | - | - |
| 3.5 | Development Near Licensed Aerodomes | NO | - | - |
| 3.6 | Shooting Ranges | NO | - | - |
| | lazard and Risk | | | |
| 4.1 | Acid Sulfate Soils | YES | NO | - |
| 4.2 | Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land | NO | - | - |
| 4.3 | Flood Prone Land | YES | NO | - |
| 4.4 5. F | Planning for Bushfire Protection | YES | YES | CONSISTENT |
| 5.1 | Implementation of Regional Strategies | NO | - | - |
| 5.2 | Sydney Drinking Water Catchment | NO | - | - |
| 5.3 | Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast | NO | - | - |
| 5.4 | Commercial and Retail Development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast | NO | - | - |
| 5.8 | Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek | NO | - | - |

| | DIRECTION | APPLICABLE | RELEVANT? (YES/NO) | (IF RELEVANT) INCONSISTENT/ CONSISTENT |
|------|--|------------|-----------------------|--|
| 5.9 | North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy | NO | - | - |
| 6. L | ocal Plan Making | | | |
| 6.1 | Approval and Referral Requirements | NO | - | - |
| 6.2 | Reserving Land for Public Purposes | NO | - | - |
| 6.3 | Site Specific Provisions | NO | - | |
| | letropolitan Planning | | | |
| 7.1 | Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 | NO | - | - |
| 7.2 | Implementation of Greater Macarthur Land Release Investigation | NO | - | - |